

# USDA Forest Service, Kaibab National Forest – North Kaibab Ranger District

## Burnt Corral Vegetation Management Project

### Scoping Comment Statistics

Both [FS-comments-southwestern-kaibab-north-kaibab@fs.fed.us](mailto:FS-comments-southwestern-kaibab-north-kaibab@fs.fed.us) and [comments-southwestern-kaibab-north-kaibab@fs.fed.us](mailto:comments-southwestern-kaibab-north-kaibab@fs.fed.us) addresses received comments into the same e-mail account or database.

Total Scoping Comment letters received: **11 total**

<b>Letter Number</b>	<b>Date</b> (yyyymmdd)	<b>Organization / Individual</b>	<b>E-mail or Hard-copy</b>	<b>Pages in Letter Total</b> (References / Attachments)	<b>Letter</b> (Neutral, Supportive or Opposing)
1	20150317	Dick Artley Individual	E-mail	10 pages (hyperlinks within e-mail)	Opposing
2	20150320	Susan Cohen Individual	E-mail	1 page (none)	Opposing burning
3	20150402	David Hurd National Park Service	E-mail	1 page (none)	Neutral (no comments)
4	20150413	ADOT - Flagstaff	E-Mail	1 page (included a highlighted copy of the scoping letter)	Supportive (recommend BMPs)
5	20150415	Jim Koons Individual	Letter – Hard-copy	1 page (3 pages attached)	Supportive (1 request & 1 suggestion)
6	20150416	AZ Game and Fish Department	E-Mail	2 pages (none)	Supportive (5 specific comments)
7	20150409 to 20150416	Sierra Club (528 Form Letters from 264 Individuals)	E-Mail (*see note)	1 page (n/a – none)	Neutral to Opposing (recommends preserving old growth)
8	20150417	Kane County Commission	E-Mail	1 page (reference within letter)	Supportive
9	20150417	Center for Biological Diversity & Sierra Club-GC Chapter	E-Mail	36 pages in Letter (69 pages with attachments)	Opposing (suggests Alternative)
10	20150423 Received after deadline	U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service	Letter – Hard-copy	2 pages (none)	Neutral to Supportive (8 specific questions or comments)
11	20150513 Received after deadline	Northern AZ Univ. LCI (LLECB)	E-Mail	1.5 pages (within letter)	Neutral to Supportive

\*[note: For duplicate or multiple “form letters” received, the comments included in the form letter(s) were counted as one comment, unless the form letter varied in content (NEPA is not a voting process); 528 individual Sierra Club form letters were e-mailed or addressed to R. Walker and M. Williams from 264 individuals, these letters were counted once as being received from each individual.

**NEXT STEP:** Scoping Comments will be evaluated and a “Summary of Public Scoping Comments” will be generated to consolidate like comments, to show applicability of comments to the Burnt Corral Vegetation Management Project’s Proposed Action, and to identify issues or topics of concern which will aid in deciding a range of alternatives.